

The Capacity of the General Time-Discrete Channel with Finite Alphabet

JACOB ZIV*

Scientific Department, Israel Ministry of Defence P.O.B. 7063, Tel-Aviv, Israel

In this paper, the capacity, C , of the general discrete time-varying channel with memory is derived. It is also demonstrated that for rates below some positive number C_s , the upper bound on the probability of error decreases exponentially with the block length, n . (Clearly $C_s \leq C$). The capacity C is derived by proving a coding theorem which is then followed by a (weak) converse theorem.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let us consider a set A of L letters, which we call the "input alphabet" and a set B of M letters which we call the "output alphabet".

Let us consider sequences of letters infinite on both sides

$$x = \cdots x_{-1}, x_0, x_1 \cdots; \quad x_i \in A$$

$$y = \cdots y_{-1}, y_0, y_1 \cdots; \quad y_i \in B$$

The sequence x will be regarded as an elementary event in a probability space A^1 and the sequence y will be regarded as an elementary event in a probability space B^1 .

Consider now the following cylinder sets (n -sequences):

- a) The set X_n^j of all elementary events x such that $x_i = a_i$;
 $j \leq i \leq j + n - 1$; a_i is some element of A
- b) The set Y_n^j of all elementary events y such that $y_i = b_i$;
 $j \leq i \leq j + n - 1$; b_i is some element of B

The general discrete channel is characterized by a system of conditional probabilities

$$P(Y_n^j | X_n^j, s^j)$$

$$j = 0 \pm 1, 2 \cdots; \quad n = 1, 2 \cdots; \quad s^j \in S^j$$

* On leave at Bell Telephone Laboratories, Incorporated, Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974.

where S^j is a countable set of all possible channel states at the j th instance. Let $P(s^j)$ be a probability distribution defined on S^j .

The channel is assumed to be "non-anticipative": i.e. Y_n^j does not depend on future inputs.

A code (N, n, j) is a set of N input n -sequences $X_{n,1}^j, X_{n,2}^j \cdots X_{n,N}^j$, together with a corresponding decision function which is assigning to each output n -sequence Y_n^j , one of the input sequences

$$X_{n,1}^j \cdots X_{n,N}^j$$

A code $(N, n, \lambda_{n,s}^j)$ is a code consisting of N input sequences of n letters, whose maximum probability of error that is associated with a state $s^j \in S^j$ is $\lambda_{n,s}^j$, assuming that both the sender and receiver do not know the state of the channel s^j . In addition, let

$$\lambda_n^j = \sum_{s^j} p(S^j) \lambda_{n,s}^j$$

be called the average probability of error.

A code (N, n, λ_n^j) is a code consisting of N input sequences of n letters whose probability of error is λ_n^j . (Ash, 1965; Wolfowitz, 1964)

Let the information rate R be defined as

$$R = \frac{1}{n} \log N$$

we then prove the following theorems:

THE CODING THEOREM. (a) For any R smaller than some number C which is nonnegative, and for any $j = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$, there exist codes $([e^{nR}]n, \lambda_n^j)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n^j = 0$. The number C is determined by the system of probabilities $P(S^j)$ and $P(Y_n^j | X_n^j, S^j)$.

(b) For any R smaller than some nonnegative number C_s , there exist codes $([e^{nR}], n, \lambda_n^j)$ such that for any $j = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$

$$\lambda_n^j \leq e^{-E(R) \cdot n} \quad \text{for large enough } n,$$

where $E(R)$ is positive and is independent of n . The number C_s is determined by the system of conditional probabilities $P(Y_n^j | X_n^j, S^j)$.

(c) For any R smaller than some nonnegative number C_s there exist codes $([e^{nR}], n, \lambda_{n,s}^j)$ such that for large enough n , any $j = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ and any s^j , $\lambda_{n,s}^j \leq K(s^j) e^{-E(R)n}$ where $K(s^j)$ is independent of n and R . Thus, $\sup_{s^j} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n,s}^j = 0$.

THE CONVERSE THEOREM. (a) Given any sequence of codes $([e^{nR}], n,$

λ_n^j) then if $R > C$, there always exists a positive number λ such that for sufficiently large n_0 , $\lambda_n^j > \lambda$ for some $n > n_0$ and some j .

b) Given any sequence of codes $([e^{nR}], n, \lambda_{n,s}^j)$ then if $R > C_s$ there always exists a positive number λ such that for sufficiently large n_0 , $\sup_{s,j} \lambda_{n,s}^j > \lambda$ for some $n > n_0$ and some j .

The number C is called "channel capacity" and is defined as follows: Let

$$I_s^j(n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\{X_n^j, Y_n^j\}} P(X_n^j, Y_n^j | s^j) \log \frac{P(Y_n^j | X_n^j, s^j)}{P(Y_n^j | s^j)} \quad (1)$$

and let

$$Q^0 = \{q^0: \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_K P\{s^j: I_s^j(n) < q^0\} = 0\} \quad (2)$$

where $P\{s^j: I_s^j(n) < q^0\}$ is the probability measure of all states s^j such that $I_s^j(n) < q^0$ and where

$$j = j_0 \pm Kn; \quad K = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Then

$$C = \inf_{j_0} \sup_{\mu} \sup_{q^0 \in Q^0} \{q^0\} \quad (3)$$

where μ is the probability measure that is defined on A^1 . Clearly C is determined by the system of probabilities $P(s^j)$ and $P(Y_n^j | X_n^j, s^j)$.

The number C_s is given by:

$$C_s = \inf_{j_0} \sup_{\mu} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_K \inf_{s^j} I_s^j(n) \quad j = j_0 \pm Kn; \quad K = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (4)$$

DISCUSSION

For any $R < C$, the average probability of error, λ_n^j , vanishes as n gets large. On the other hand when $R < C_s$, λ_n^j as well as $\lambda_{n,s}^j$ decreases at least exponentially with n . Furthermore, $\sup_{s,j} \lambda_{n,s}^j$ cannot be made arbitrarily small once R is larger than C_s . Thus, if the set S^j is finite (a finite-state time varying channel) and if states with zero probability measure are to be ignored, C_s must be equal to C .

In this case, λ_n^j (and $\lambda_{n,s}^j$) decreases at least exponentially with n for any rate up to channel capacity. A similar result has been obtained by Yudkin for finite-state stationary channels (Yudkin, 1967).

If, on the other hand, the set S^j is infinite, it is possible to find channels

for which $C_s < C$. Some of these channels may have the property that for rates in the region $C_s < R < C$ the probability of error decreases with n at a nonexponential rate.

We shall now bring an example for which $C_s = C$ where S^j is an infinite (countable) set, and also derive the capacity of Wolfowitz's compound channel in the special case where S^j is finite.

(1) *A Channel with Vanishing Memory (c.v.m.)*

Let a channel with vanishing memory be a channel for which for any probability measure μ on A^1 ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |I_{s_K}^j(n) - I_{s_e}^j(n)| = 0 \quad (5)$$

uniformly with respect to j , where s_K^j and s_e^j are any two elements of S^j .

It follows that for any c.v.m. we have

$$C_{\text{c.v.m.}} = C_s = C = \inf_{j_0} \sup_{\mu} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_K I^j(n)$$

$$j = j_0 \pm Kn; \quad K = 0, 1, 2, 3. \quad (6)$$

where

$$I^j(n) \triangleq \sum_{\{X_n^j, Y_n^j\}} P(X_n^j, Y_n^j) \log \frac{P(Y_n^j | X_n^j)}{P(Y_n^j)}. \quad (7)$$

In the special case where the channel is *stationary* and *memoryless* (d.m.c.)

$$P(Y_n^j | X_n^j, s^j) = \sum_{i=1}^n p(y_i | x_i) \quad x_i \in A, \quad y_i \in B$$

where the conditional probability distribution $p(\cdot/\cdot)$ which is independent of i and of the channel state $s^j \in S^j$.

Thus

$$C_{\text{d.m.c.}} = \sup_{\mu_1(\cdot)} \sum_{\{x_1, y_1\}} \mu_1(x_1) P(y_1 | x_1) \log \frac{P(y_1 | x_1)}{P(y_1)} \quad (8)$$

where $\mu_1(\cdot)$ is the probability distribution on A (Wolfowitz, 1964).

(2) *The Compound Channel*

Let us consider the special case where the channel is *stationary* and is characterized by a set of conditional probability distributions, as

follows:

$$P(Y_n^j | X_n^j, s^j) = P(Y_n | X_n, s^j) = \prod_{i=1}^n p(y_i | x_i, s^j)$$

where the conditional probability distribution $p(\cdot | \cdot, s^j)$ for a given state s^j is independent of i ; and $s^j \in S$ where S is a finite set. ($x_i \in A$; $y_i \in B$). Then, in this special case, we have by the coding and converse theorems of this paper that the capacity of this compound channel is:

$$C_s = C_{\text{compound}} = \sup_{\mu_1(\cdot)} \min_{s^j} \sum_{\{x_1, y_1\}} \mu_1(x_1) P(y_1 | x_1, s^j) \log \frac{P(y_1 | x_1 s^j)}{P(y_1 | s^j)} \quad (9)$$

where $\mu_1(\cdot)$ is the probability distribution on A . ($x_1 \in A, y_1 \in B, s^j \in S$). This capacity is identical with Wolfowitz's result (Wolfowitz, 1964, p. 34). The following sections include the proofs of the coding and the converse theorems.

2. THE CODING THEOREM

LEMMA 1. For any real number D and any $j = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ let

$$A^j = \left\{ (X_n^j, Y_n^j) : \log \frac{P(Y_n^j | X_n^j)}{P(Y_n^j)} > nD \right\} \quad (10)$$

then there exist a code $[[e^{nR}], n, \lambda_n^j]$ for any positive integer R , such that

$$\lambda_n^j \leq e^{n(R-D)} + P_r \{ (X_n^j, Y_n^j) \notin A^j \} \quad (11)$$

where $P_r \{ \quad \}$ denotes the probability of the event $\{ \quad \}$.

Proof. Ash (1965, pp. 68-71), Wolfowitz (1964, pp. 97-98). It should be noted that $\lambda_n^j = \sum_{s^j} P(s^j) \lambda_{n,s}^j$ and that $P(Y_n^j | X_n^j) = \sum_{s^j} P(s^j) P(Y_n^j | X_n^j, s^j)$.

LEMMA 2. Let

$$i^j(n) = \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{P(Y_n^j | X_n^j)}{P(Y_n^j)} \quad (12a)$$

Then, for any D

$$P_r \{ i^j(n) < D \} \leq e^{-n\rho(-D + G^j(\rho, n))}; \quad \rho > 0$$

Where

$$G^j(\rho, n) = -\frac{1}{\rho n} \log \sum_{\{x_n^j, y_n^j\}} P(X_n^j, Y_n^j) \frac{P(Y_n^j | X_n^j)^{-\rho}}{P(Y_n^j)^{-\rho}} \quad (12b)$$

Proof. Let $\rho > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} P(I^j(n) < D) &= \sum_{\{(X_n^j, Y_n^j): I^j(n) < D\}} P(X_n^j, Y_n^j) \\ &\leq \sum_{\{X_n^j, Y_n^j\}} e^{n\rho[D-I^j(n)]} P(X_n^j, Y_n^j) = e^{n\rho[D-G^j(\rho, n)]} \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 3. Let

$$G_{Z_p^j}^j(\rho, n) = -\frac{1}{\rho n} \log \sum_{\{X_n^j, Y_n^j\}} P(X_n^j, Y_n^j | Z_p^j) \frac{P(Y_n^j | X_n^j, Z_p^j)^{-\rho}}{P(Y_n^j | Y_p^{j-p})^{-\rho}}$$

where Z_p^j is the event $\{X_p^{j-p}, Y_p^{j-p}\}$ and where $p = 1, 2, \dots$ and let $0 < \rho < 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n}{n-1} G^{j_0-1}(\rho, n) &\geq \inf_K \inf_{p, Z_p^j} G_{Z_p^j}^j(\rho, n-1) \triangleq \min G^0(\rho, n-1) \\ p &= 1, 2, \dots \quad j = j_0 \pm K(n-1); \quad K = 0, 1, 2, 3 \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Proof. See Appendix.

LEMMA 4. Let $m > n$ ($m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$; $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$). Then

$$\frac{m}{n} G_{Z_p^j}^j(\rho, m) \geq G_{Z_p^j}^{j+t}(\rho, n); \quad t = 0, 1, 2, \dots (m-n) \quad (14)$$

Proof. See Appendix.

LEMMA 5. Let $n = lq$ ($l = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, $q = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) and let

$$\begin{aligned} \min G^0(\rho, q) &\triangleq \inf_K \inf_{p, Z_p^j} G_{Z_p^j}^j(\rho, q); \\ j &= j_0 \pm Kq; \quad K = 0, 1, 2, 3; \quad p = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned} \quad (15a)$$

then

$$\min G^0(\rho, lq) \geq \min G^0(\rho, q) \quad (15b)$$

Proof. See Appendix.

LEMMA 6. Let

$$I_{Z_p^j}^j(n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\{X_n^j, Y_n^j\}} P(X_n^j, Y_n^j | Z_p^j) \log \frac{P(Y_n^j | X_n^j, Z_p^j)}{P(Y_n^j | Y_p^{j-p})} \quad (16a)$$

Let

$$m > n \quad (m = 1, 2, 3, \dots; n = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

Then

$$\frac{m}{n} I_{Z_p^j}^j(m) \geq I_{Z_p^j}^{j+t}(n); \quad t = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m - n. \quad (16b)$$

Proof. See Appendix.

LEMMA 7. Let $n = lq$ ($l = 1, 2, 3, \dots$; $q = 1, 2, 3$), and let

$$\min I^0(n) \triangleq \inf_K \inf_{p, Z_p^j} I_{Z_p^j}^j(n);$$

$$j = j_0 \pm Kn; \quad K = 0, 1, 2, 3; \quad p = 1, 2, \dots$$

Then

$$\min I^0(lq) \geq \min I^0(q) \quad (17)$$

Proof. See Appendix

LEMMA 8. The limit of $\min I^0(n)$ as n goes to infinity, always exists. Let this limit be denoted by:

$$C^0 \triangleq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \min I^0(n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_K \inf_{p, Z_p^j} I_{Z_p^j}^j(n) \quad (18)$$

$$j = j_0 \pm Kn; \quad K = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots; \quad p = 1, 2, \dots$$

Proof. Follows from Lemma 6 and Lemma 7; See Appendix.

LEMMA 9. The function $G_{Z_p^j}^j(\rho, q)$ is lower bounded uniformly with respect to all Z_p^j and j , by

$$G_{Z_p^j}^j(\rho, q) \geq I_{Z_p^j}^j(q) - M^q \left(\frac{4}{e}\right)^2 \frac{\rho}{q(1-\rho)^2} \quad (19)$$

where M is the number of letters in the output alphabet (the set B).

Proof. See Appendix.

Now, by Lemma 8, it is possible to choose an integer q such that for any $n > q$ and any positive number ϵ_1 ,

$$\min I_{Z_p^j}^j(q) > C^0 - \epsilon_1 \quad (20)$$

By Lemma 9, it is possible to choose a number ρ_0 , ($0 < \rho_0 < 1$), such that for any positive number ϵ_2 ,

$$\min G^0(\rho_0, q) \geq \min I^0(q) - \epsilon_2 \quad (21)$$

Let l be an integer such that for $n - 1 > q$

$$lq < n - 1 \leq (l + 1)q$$

Then, by Lemma 4 and Lemma 5 we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \min G^0(\rho_0, n-1) &\geq \frac{lq}{n-1} \min G^0(\rho_0, q) \\ &\geq \frac{l}{l+1} \min G^0(\rho_0, q) \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Inserting Eqs. (21) and (20) into Eq. (22) yields

$$\min G^0(\rho_0, n-1) \geq \frac{l}{l+1} [\min I^0(q) - \epsilon_2] \geq \frac{l}{l+1} [C^0 - \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2]$$

and therefore, for sufficiently large n (and hence for appropriately large l),

$$\min G^0(\rho_0, n-1) \geq C^0 - 2(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2) \quad (23)$$

Inserting Eqs. (23) and (13) into Eq. (12) yields

$$P_r \{i^j(n) < D\} \leq \exp \{n\rho_0[D - C^0 + 2(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)]\}; \quad j = j_0 - 1 \quad (24)$$

for large enough n .

Now let

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &= 4(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2) \\ D &= C^0 - \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

then, for large enough n ,

$$P_r \{i^j(n) < C^0 - \epsilon\} \leq e^{-n\rho_0\epsilon/2}; \quad j = j_0 - 1 \quad (25)$$

where ϵ is an arbitrary-small positive number.

Now C^0 is a function of the specific probability measure μ on A^1 ; Thus, let

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{C} &= \inf_{j_0} \sup_{\mu} C^0 = \inf_{j_0} \sup_{\mu} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_K \inf_{P, Z_n^j} I_{Z_n^j}^j(n) \\ & \quad j = j_0 \pm Kn; \quad K = 0, 1, 2, 3 \dots \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

The insertion of Eqs. (26) and (25) into Eq. (11) yields, for any j and any large enough n ,

$$\lambda_n^j \leq e^{n(\bar{C} - \bar{C} + \epsilon)} + e^{-n\rho_0\epsilon/2}$$

where ϵ is an arbitrary positive number.

Therefore, for large enough n ,

$$\lambda_n^j \leq e^{-E(R) \cdot n}; \quad E(R) > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad R < \bar{C}. \quad (27)$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\{Y_n^j\}} P(Y_n^j | Z_p^j, s^{j-p}) \log \frac{P(Y_n^j | Y_p^{j-p})}{P(Y_n^j | Z_p^j, s^{j-p})} \\ \leq \sum_{\{Y_n^j\}} P(Y_n^j | Z_p^j, s^{j-p}) \left(\frac{P(Y_n^j | Y_p^{j-p})}{P(Y_n^j | Z_p^j, s^{j-p})} - 1 \right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\{X_n^j, Y_n^j\}} P(X_n^j, Y_n^j | Z_p^j) \log P(Y_n^j | Y_p^{j-p}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{s^{j-p}} P(s^{j-p}) \sum_{\{Y_n^j\}} P(Y_n^j | Z_p^j, s^{j-p}) \log P(Y_n^j | Y_p^{j-p}) \\ &\geq -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{s^{j-p}} P(s^{j-p}) \sum_{\{X_n^j, Y_n^j\}} \\ &\quad \cdot P(X_n^j, Y_n^j | s^j) \log P(Y_n^j | s^j) \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

where $s^j = \{s^{j-p}; Z_p^j\}$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \log P(Y_n^j | Z_p^j, X_n^j) &= \log \sum_{s^{j-p}} P(s^{j-p}) P(Y_n^j | X_n^j, s^j) \\ &\geq \log P(s^{j-p}) + \log P(Y_n^j | X_n^j, s^j); \quad s^{j-p} \text{ is any element of } S^{j-p} \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

The insertion of Eqs. (28) and (29) into Eq. (16a) yields:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{Z_p^j}^j(n) &\geq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\{s^{j-p}\}_e} P(s^{j-p}) \sum_{\{X_n^j, Y_n^j\}} P(X_n^j, Y_n^j | s^j) \log \frac{P(Y_n^j | X_n^j, s^j)}{P(Y_n^j | s^j)} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\{s^{j-p}\}_e} P(s^{j-p}) \log P(s^{j-p}) = \sum_{\{s^{j-p}\}_e} P(s^{j-p}) I_s^j(n) \quad (30) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\{s^{j-p}\}_e} P(s^{j-p}) \log P(s^{j-p}) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$1) \quad I_s^j(n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\{X_n^j, Y_n^j\}} P(X_n^j, Y_n^j | s^j) \log \frac{P(Y_n^j | X_n^j, s^j)}{P(Y_n^j | s^j)}$$

2) The set $\{s^{j-p}\}_\epsilon$ is any finite subset of the countable set S^{j-p} such that $P(\{s^{j-p}\}_\epsilon) \geq 1 - \epsilon$, where ϵ is an arbitrary positive number.

Now

$$- \sum_{\{s^{j-p}\}_\epsilon} P(s^{j-p}) \log P(s^{j-p}) \\ \geq (\log K)(1 - \epsilon) - (1 - \epsilon) \log (1 - \epsilon) \leq \log 2K$$

where K is the number of states in $\{s^{j-p}\}_\epsilon$.

Thus,

$$I_{Z_p}^j \geq (1 - \epsilon) \inf_{s^j \in S^j} I_s^j(n) - \frac{\log 2K}{n} \quad (31)$$

and for large enough n ,

$$I_{Z_p}^j \geq \inf_{s^j \in S^j} I_s^j(n) - \epsilon_1$$

where ϵ_1 is an arbitrary positive number.

Therefore by Eqs. (27) and (31) and for large enough n

$$\lambda_n^j \leq e^{-E(R)n} \quad (32)$$

where $E(R)$ is positive for any $R < C_s$ and where

$$C_s = \inf_{j_0} \sup_{\mu} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_K \inf_{s^j} I_s^j(n) \quad (33)$$

This proves section (b), of the main theorem.

Now, given some arbitrary j_0 , let μ be a probability measure on A^1 that maximizes C in Eq. (3) for this specific j_0 ; Then

$$P_r(i^{j_0-1}(n) < C - \epsilon) = P_r(i^{j_0-1}(n) < C - \epsilon; I_s^j(n) \geq C) \\ + P_r(i^{j_0-1}(n) < C - \epsilon; I_s^j(n) < C) \\ \leq P_r(i^{j_0-1}(n) < I_s^j(n) - \epsilon) + P_r(I_s^j(n) < C)$$

for any $j = j_0 - 1 \pm Kn$; $K = 0, 1, 2, 3 \dots$

Now, by Eqs. (18) and (31), one can choose j such that for large enough n ,

$$\inf_{s^j} I_s^j(n) < C^0 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

Therefore,

$$P_r(i^{j_0-1}(n) < C - \epsilon) \leq P_r\{i_{(n)}^{j_0-1} < C^0 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\} \\ + \sup_{\bar{K}} P_r\{I_s^j(n) < C\}; j = j_0 - 1 \pm Kn; K = 0, 1, 2, 3 \dots$$

Thus, by Eqs. (1), (2), (3) and (25)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_r(i^j(n) < C - \epsilon) = 0 \quad (34)$$

for any j and any positive ϵ .

Now, let $D = C - \epsilon$; then, by Eqs. (11) and (34)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n^j = 0; \text{ for } R < C$$

This proves section (a) of the coding theorem.

Eqs. (33) holds for any probability distribution on S^j , and therefore also for the case where $P(s^j) > 0$ for all $s^j \in S^j$.

Thus

$$\lambda_n^j = \sum_{s^j} P(s^j) \lambda_{n,s}^j \geq P(s^j) \lambda_{n,s}^j; \text{ any } s^j \in S^j$$

$$\therefore \lambda_{n,s}^j \leq \frac{1}{P(s^j)} \lambda_{n,s}^j \leq \frac{1}{P(s^j)} e^{-E(R) \cdot n} = K(s^j) e^{-E(R) \cdot n}$$

where $K(S^j)$ is finite and is independent of R and n .

This proves section (c) of the main theory.

3. THE CONVERSE THEOREM

Let $\lambda_{n,s}^j$ be the probability of error that is associated with a code: $X_{n,1}^j, X_{n,2}^j \dots X_{n,nR}^j$, given that the channel is at the state s^j .

Let us define a probability distribution on the set $\{X_n^j\}$ such that

$$P(X_n^j) = e^{-nR}; \quad X_n^j = X_{n,i}^j \quad i = 1 \dots e^{nR} \\ P(X_n^j) = 0; \quad X_n^j \neq X_{n,i}^j \quad i = 1 \dots e^{nR} \quad (35)$$

Then, by Fano's inequality (Ash, 1965; Wolfowitz, 1964),

$$- \sum_{\{X_n^j, Y_n^j\}} P(X_n^j, Y_n^j | Z_p^j) \log P(X_n^j | Y_n^j, s^j) \\ \leq \lambda_{n,s}^j \log(e^{nR} - 1) - \lambda_{n,s}^j \log \lambda_{n,s}^j - (1 - \lambda_{n,s}^j) \log(1 - \lambda_{n,s}^j) \quad (36)$$

Now, by Eq. (35)

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_n^j) &= P(X_n^j | s^j) = e^{-nR}; & X_n^j &= X_{n,i}^j \quad i = 1 \dots e^{nR} \\ &= 0; & X_n^j &\neq X_{n,i}^j \quad i = 1 \dots e^{nR} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$- \sum_{\{X_n^j, Y_n^j\}} P(X_n^j, Y_n^j | s^j) \log P(X_n^j | s^j) = nR \quad (37)$$

Now, by Eq. (37) and (36) we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{n,s}^j \log(e^{nR} - 1) - \lambda_{n,s}^j \log \lambda_{n,s}^j - (1 - \lambda_{n,s}^j) \log(1 - \lambda_{n,s}^j) \\ \geq nR - \sum_{\{X_n^j, Y_n^j\}} P(X_n^j, Y_n^j | s^j) \log \frac{P(Y_n^j | X_n^j, s^j)}{P(Y_n^j | s^j)} \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{n,s}^j - \frac{\lambda_{n,s}^j \log \lambda_{n,s}^j + (1 - \lambda_{n,s}^j) \log(1 - \lambda_{n,s}^j)}{nR} \\ \geq \frac{R - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\{X_n^j, Y_n^j\}} P(X_n^j, Y_n^j | s^j) \log \frac{P(Y_n^j | X_n^j, s^j)}{P(Y_n^j | s^j)}}{R} \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

Thus, by Eq. (1)

$$\lambda_{n,s}^j \geq \frac{R - I_s^j(n)}{R} - \frac{\log 2}{n \cdot R} \quad (40)$$

(Since $-P \log P - (1 - P) \log(1 - P) \leq \log 2$; $0 \leq P \leq 1$)

Let us denote by $F_n^j(\epsilon)$ the set:

$$F_n^j(\epsilon) = \{s^j : I_s^j(n) \leq C + \epsilon\} \quad (41)$$

It follows from Eqs. (1) (2) and (3) that for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a positive number η such that for any j_0 , any probability measure μ on A^1 and any n_0 , there exists some number $n > n_0$ for which

$$\Pr \{s^j \in F_n^j(\epsilon)\} \geq \eta > 0 \quad (42)$$

for some $j = j_0 \pm Kn$; $K = 0, 1, 2, 3 \dots$

Let us pick the one μ for which

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_n^j) &= e^{-nR}; & X_n^j &= X_{n,i}^j \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \dots e^{nR}; \\ P(X_n^j) &= 0; & X_n^j &\neq X_{n,i}^j \quad j = j_0 \pm Kn \end{aligned}$$

where $\{X_{n,1}^j \cdots X_{n,i} \cdots X_{n,nR}^j\}$ is the best code of length n to be used at the j th instant (i.e. the one that minimizes λ_n^j)

Thus, μ is given by:

$$\cdots P(X_n^{j_0-n})P(X_n^{j_0})P(X_n^{j_0+n}) \cdots$$

Then, by Eq. (40)

$$\lambda_{n,s}^j \geq \frac{R - I_s^j(n)}{R} - \frac{\log 2}{nR}; s^j \in F_n^j(\epsilon) \quad (43)$$

for any $j = j_0 \pm Kn; K = 0, 1, 2, 3 \cdots$

Thus, by Eqs. (41) (42) and (43)

$$\lambda_n^j \geq \eta \left[\frac{R - (C + \epsilon)}{R} - \frac{\log 2}{nR} \right] \text{ for some } j = j_0 \pm Kn$$

since

$$\lambda_n^j = \sum_{s^j} P(s^j) \lambda_{n,s}^j > \sum_{s^j \in F_n^j(\epsilon)} P(s^j) \lambda_{n,s}^j$$

Thus, for large enough n

$$\lambda_n^j \geq \eta \frac{R - (C + 2\epsilon)}{R}; \text{ for some } j_0 \pm Kn$$

where ϵ is an arbitrary positive number.

Therefore, for any $R > C$

$$\lambda_n^j \geq \lambda > 0 \text{ for some } j.$$

This proves section (a) of the converse theorem.

Now, it follows from Eq. (4) that for any n_0 there exist some $n > n_0$, some j and some state s^j such that for any μ

$$I_s^j(n) \leq C_s + \epsilon_1 \quad (44)$$

where ϵ_1 is an arbitrary small positive number.

Inserting Eq. (44) into Eq. (40) yields for some $n > n_0$, some j and some s_p^j

$$\lambda_{n,s}^j > \frac{R - C - \epsilon_1}{R} - \frac{\log 2}{nR} \quad (45)$$

Thus, for large enough n_0 there exists some $n > n_0$ such that

$$\lambda_{n,s}^j > \frac{R - C - \epsilon_1}{R} \quad (46)$$

for some j and some s^j , where ϵ_1 is an arbitrary small positive number.

This proves section (b) of the converse theorem.

4. APPENDIX

PROOF OF LEMMA 3

$$\begin{aligned} G^{j_0-1}(\rho, n) = & -\frac{1}{\rho n} \log \sum_{\{X_n^{j_0-1}, Y_n^{j_0-1}\}} \\ & \cdot P(X_1^{j_0-1}, Y_1^{j_0-1}) P(X_{n-1}^{j_0}, Y_{n-1}^{j_0} | X_1^{j_0-1}, Y_1^{j_0-1}) \\ & \cdot \left| \frac{P(Y_1^{j_0-1} | X_1^{j_0-1})}{P(Y_1^{j_0-1})} \right|^{-\rho} \left| \frac{P(X_{n-1}^{j_0} | X_{n-1}^{j_0-1}, Y_1^{j_0-1})}{P(Y_{n-1}^{j_0} | Y_1^{j_0-1})} \right|^{-\rho} \end{aligned}$$

Let us denote by Z_p^j the event $\{X_p^{j-p}, Y_p^{j-p}\}$.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} G^{j_0-1}(\rho, n) = & -\frac{1}{\rho n} \log \sum_{\{X_1^{j_0-1}, Y_1^{j_0-1}\}} P(X_1^{j_0-1}, Y_1^{j_0-1}) \left| \frac{P(Y_1^{j_0-1} | X_1^{j_0-1})}{P(Y_1^{j_0-1})} \right|^{-\rho} \\ & \cdot \sum_{\{X_{n-1}^{j_0}, Y_{n-1}^{j_0}\}} P(X_{n-1}^{j_0}, Y_{n-1}^{j_0} | Z_1^{j_0}) \cdot \frac{P(Y_{n-1}^{j_0} | X_{n-1}^{j_0}, Z_1^{j_0})}{P(Y_{n-1}^{j_0} | Y_1^{j_0-1})} \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} G_0^{j_0-1}(\rho, n) & \geq -\frac{1}{\rho n} \log \sum_{\{X_1^{j_0-1}, Y_1^{j_0-1}\}} P(X_1^{j_0-1}, Y_1^{j_0-1}) \frac{P(Y_1^{j_0-1} | X_1^{j_0-1})^{-\rho}}{P(Y_1^{j_0-1})^{-\rho}} \\ & - \frac{1}{\rho n} \log \max_{Z_1^{j_0}} \sum_{\{X_{n-1}^{j_0}, Y_{n-1}^{j_0}\}} P(X_{n-1}^{j_0}, Y_{n-1}^{j_0} | Z_1^{j_0}) \frac{P(Y_{n-1}^{j_0} | X_{n-1}^{j_0}, Z_1^{j_0})^{-\rho}}{P(Y_{n-1}^{j_0} | Y_1^{j_0-1})^{-\rho}} \\ & = \frac{1}{n} G^{j_0-1}(\rho, 1) + \frac{n-1}{n} \min_{Z_1^{j_0}} G_{Z_1^{j_0}}^{j_0}(\rho, n-1) \\ & \geq \frac{1}{n} G^{j_0-1}(\rho, 1) + \frac{n-1}{n} \inf_{K,p} \min_{Z_p^j} G_{Z_p^j}^j(\rho, n-1) \end{aligned}$$

$$j = j_0 \pm K.n \quad K = 0, 1, 2, 3 \dots; p = 1, 2 \dots$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} G^{j_0-1}(\rho, 1) &= -\frac{1}{\rho} \log \sum_{\{X_0^{j_0-1}, Y_0^{j_0-1}\}} P(X_0^{j_0-1}, Y_0^{j_0-1}) \left[\frac{P(Y_1^{j_0-1} | X_1^{j_0-1})}{P(Y_1^{j_0-1})} \right]^{-\rho} \\ &\geq -\frac{1}{\rho} \sum_{\{X_1^{j_0-1}, Y_1^{j_0-1}\}} P(X_1^{j_0-1}, Y_1^{j_0-1}) \log \left[\frac{P(Y_1^{j_0-1} | X_1^{j_0-1})}{P(Y_1^{j_0-1})} \right]^{-\rho} = \\ &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n}{n-1} G^{j_0-1}(\rho, n) &\geq \inf_{K, p} \min_{Z_p^j} G_{Z_p^j}^j(\rho, n-1) \triangleq \min G^0(\rho, n-1) \\ j &= j_0 \pm Kn; \quad K = 0, 1, 2, 3 \dots; \quad p = 1, 2 \dots \end{aligned}$$

PROOF OF LEMMA 4

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_m^j, Y_m^j | Z_p^j) &= P(X_n^j, Y_n^j | Z_p^j) P(X_{m-n}^{j+n}, Y_{m-n}^{j+n} | X_n^j, Y_n^j, Z_p^j) \\ &= P(X_n^j, Y_n^j | Z_p^j) P(X_{m-n}^{j+n}, Y_{m-n}^{j+n} | Z_{p+n}^{j+n}) \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} mG_{Z_p^j}^j(\rho, m) &= -\frac{1}{\rho} \log \left[\sum_{X_n^j} P(X_n^j, Y_n^j | Z_p^j) \frac{P(Y_n^j | X_n^j, Z_p^j)^{-\rho}}{P(Y_n^j | Y_p^{j-p})^{-\rho}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \sum_{\{X_{m-n}^{j+n}, Y_{m-n}^{j+n}\}} P(X_{m-n}^{j+n}, Y_{m-n}^{j+n} | Y_{p+n}^{j+n}) \times \frac{P(Y_{m-n}^{j+n} | X_{m-n}^{j+n}, Z_{p+n}^{j+n})^{-\rho}}{P(Y_{m-n}^{j+n} | Y_{p+n}^{j-p})^{-\rho}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Therefore it follows that,

$$mG_{Z_p^j}^j(\rho, m) \geq nG_{Z_p^j}^j(\rho, n) + (m-n) \inf_{j, p} \min_{Z_p^j} G_{Z_p^j}^j(\rho, m-n)$$

Now, similar to the proof of Lemma 3 it can be shown that

$$G_{Z_p^j}^j(\rho, m-n) \geq 0; \quad \text{any } j \text{ and any } Z_p^j.$$

Thus, for any j and any Z_p^j

$$mG_{Z_p^j}^j(\rho, m) \geq nG_{Z_p^j}^j(\rho, n)$$

In a similar way it can be shown that

$$mG_{Z_p^j}^j(\rho, m) \geq nG_{Z_{p+t}}^{j+t}(\rho, n); \quad t = 0, 1, 2 \dots m-n.$$

PROOF OF LEMMA 5

$$P(X_{lq}^j, Y_{lq}^j | Z_p^j) = \prod_{s=0}^{l-1} P(X_q^{j+sq}, Y_q^{j+sq} | Z_{p+sq}^{j+sq})$$

Thus, similar to the proof of Lemma 3, it follows that

$$G_{z_p^j}^j(\rho, lq) \geq \frac{1}{\rho lq} lq \inf_{K, p} \min_{Z_{p^i}^j} G_{z_p^j}^j(\rho, q)$$

Hence

$$G_{z_p^j}^j(\rho, lq) \geq \min G^0(\rho, q)$$

for any $j = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2 \dots$

Therefore

$$\min G^0(\rho, lq) \geq \min G^0(\rho, q)$$

PROOF OF LEMMA 6

$$\begin{aligned} mI_{z_p^j}^j(m) &= \sum_{\{X_n^j, Y_n^j\}} P(X_n^j, Y_n^j | Z_p^j) \left\{ \log \frac{P(Y_n^j | X_n^j, Z_p^j)}{P(Y_n^j | Y_p^{j-p})} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{\{X_{m-n}^{j+n}, Y_{m-n}^{j+n}\}} P(X_{m-n}^{j+n}, Y_{m-n}^{j+n} | Z_{p+n}^{j+n}) \log \frac{P(Y_{m-n}^{j+n} | X_{m-n}^{j+n}, Z_{p+n}^{j+n})}{P(Y_{m-n}^{j+n} | Y_{p+n}^{j-p})} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Now, it can be shown that

$$\sum_{\{X_{m-n}^{j+n}, Y_{m-n}^{j+n}\}} P(X_{m-n}^{j+n}, Y_{m-n}^{j+n} | Z_{p+n}^{j+n}) \log \frac{P(Y_{m-n}^{j+n} | X_{m-n}^{j+n}, Z_{p+n}^{j+n})}{P(Y_{m-n}^{j+n} | Y_{p+n}^{j-p})} \geq 0$$

Thus,

$$mI_{z_p^j}^j(m) \geq nI_{z_p^j}^j(n)$$

In a similar way it can be shown that

$$mI_{z_p^j}^j(m) \geq nI_{z_q^{j+t}}^{j+t}(n); \quad t = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m-n.$$

PROOF OF LEMMA 7

Similar to the proof of Lemma 5.

PROOF OF LEMMA 8

Let

$$a = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{ \min I^0(n) \}$$

Now

$$0 \leq I_{Z_p}^{j,j}(n) \leq -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{\{x_n^j\}} P(Y_n^j | Z_p^j) \log P(Y_n^j | Z_p^j) \leq \log M$$

where M is the number of letters in the output alphabet, B .

Thus

$$0 \leq a \leq \log M < \infty$$

Let ϵ be given arbitrarily and let the subscript q be chosen such that

$$\min I^0(q) > a - \epsilon$$

For any $n > q$ we determine l ($l = 1, 2, 3 \dots$) such that $lq \leq n \leq (l+1)q$. By Lemma 6 and Lemma 7 we have

$$\min I^0(n) \geq \frac{lq}{n} \min I^0(lq) \geq \frac{l}{l+1} \min I^0(q)$$

Thus

$$\min I^0(n) > \frac{l}{l+1} (a - \epsilon)$$

Therefore, for sufficiently large n (and hence for appropriately large l):

$$a + \epsilon \geq \min I^0(n) > a - 2\epsilon$$

But since ϵ is arbitrarily small

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \min I^0(n) = a = C^0.$$

PROOF OF LEMMA 9

Since $\log X \leq X - 1$ for any X , we have

$$1 - qpG_{Z_p}^{j,j}(\rho, q) \leq \sum_{\{x_q^j, y_q^j\}} P(X_q^j, Y_q^j | Z_p^j) \cdot \frac{P(Y_q^j | X_q^j, Z_p^j)^{-\rho}}{P(Y_q^j | Y_p^{j-p})^{-\rho}} \triangleq f_{Z_p^j}(\rho, q) - 1 \quad (\text{A-1})$$

Now,

$$f_{Z_p^j}(0, q) = 1 \quad (\text{A-2})$$

$$\left. \frac{\partial f_{Z_p^j}(\rho, q)}{\partial \rho} \right|_{\rho=0} = -q I_{Z_p^j}^j(q) \quad (\text{A-3})$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 f_{Z_p^j}(\rho, q)}{\partial \rho^2} &= \sum_{\{X_q^j, Y_q^j\}} P(X_q^j, Y_q^j | Z_q^j) \\ &\quad \cdot \frac{P(Y_q^j | X_q^j, Z_p^j)^{-\rho}}{P(Y_q^j | Y_p^{j-p})^{-\rho}} \log^2 \frac{P(Y_q^j | X_q^j, Z_p^j)}{P(Y_q^j | Y_p^{j-p})} \\ &\leq \sum_{\{X_q^j, Y_q^j\}} P(X_q^j, Y_q^j | Z_p^j) \frac{P(Y_q^j | X_q^j, Z_p^j)^{-\rho}}{P(Y_q^j | Y_p^{j-p})^{-\rho}} \\ &\quad \cdot [4 \log^2 P(Y_q^j | X_q^j, Z_p^j) + 4 \log^2 P(Y_q^j | Y_p^{j-p})] \\ &\leq 4 \sum_{\{X_q^j, Y_q^j\}} P(X_q^j | Z_p^j) P(Y_q^j | X_q^j, Z_p^j)^{1-\rho} \\ &\quad \log^2 P(Y_q^j | X_q^j, Z_p^j) \\ &\quad + 4 \sum_{\{X_q^j, Y_q^j\}} P(X_q^j | Z_p^j) P(Y_q^j | X_q^j, Z_p^j)^{1-\rho} \\ &\quad \log^2 P(Y_q^j | Y_p^{j-p}) \end{aligned}$$

Now, for $0 \leq \rho < 1$ we get by convexity arguments:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\{X_q^j\}} P(X_q^j | Z_p^j) P(Y_q^j | X_q^j, Z_p^j)^{1-\rho} \\ \leq \left[\sum_{\{X_q^j\}} P(X_q^j | Z_p^j) P(Y_q^j | X_q^j, Z_p^j) \right]^{1-\rho} = P(Y_q^j | Z_q^j)^{1-\rho} \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 f_{Z_p^j}(\rho, q)}{\partial \rho^2} &\leq \frac{4}{(1-\rho)^2} \sum_{\{X_q^j\}} P(X_q^j | Z_p^j) \sum_{\{Y_q^j\}} P(Y_q^j | X_q^j, Z_p^j)^{1-\rho} \\ &\quad \log^2 P(Y_q^j | X_q^j, Z_p^j)^{1-\rho} \\ &\quad + \frac{4}{(1-\rho)^2} \sum_{\{X_q^j\}} P(Y_q^j | Z_p^j)^{1-\rho} \log^2 P(Y_q^j | Y_p^{j-p}) \end{aligned}$$

Now, by convexity arguments for $\log^2 X$, ($X < 1$):

$$\begin{aligned} \log^2 P(Y_q^j | Y_p^{j-p}) &= \log^2 \sum_{\{x_p^{j-p}\}} P(Y_q^j | Z_p^j) P(X_p^{j-p} | Y_p^{j-p}) \\ &\leq \sum_{\{x_p^{j-p}\}} P(X_p^{j-p} | Y_p^{j-p}) \log^2 P(Y_q^j | Z_p^j) \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, since for any $0 \leq X < 1$, $X \log^2 X \leq 4e^{-2}$, it follows that:

$$\frac{\partial^2 f_{z_p^j}(\rho, q)}{\partial \rho^2} \leq \frac{16e^{-2}}{(1-\rho)^2} \left[\sum_{\{Y_q^j\}} 1 + \sum_{\{Y_p^j\}} 1 \right] = \frac{32e^{-q}}{(1-\rho)^2} M^q \quad (\text{A-4})$$

where M is the number of letters in the output alphabet B .

By Taylor series expansion theorem we have

$$f_{z_p}(\rho, q) = f_{z_p}(0, q) + \rho \left. \frac{\partial f_{z_p^j}(\rho, q)}{\partial \rho} \right|_{\rho=0} + \frac{\rho^2}{2} \left. \frac{\partial^2 f_{z_p^j}(\rho, q)}{\partial \rho^2} \right|_{\theta \rho} \quad (\text{A-5})$$

where $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$.

Thus, by Eqs. (A-2), (A-3) and (A-4):

$$f_{z_p}(\rho, q) \leq 1 - \rho q I_{z_p^j}^j(q) + \frac{\rho^2}{(1-\rho)^2} 16e^{-2} M^q. \quad (\text{A-6})$$

The insertion of Eq. (A-6) into Eq. (A-1) yields:

$$G_{z_p^j}^j(\rho, p) \geq I_{z_p^j}^j(q) - M^q \left(\frac{4}{e}\right)^2 \frac{q(1-\rho)^2}{\rho}$$

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research was conducted under the auspices of the Scientific Department, Israel Ministry of Defence.

RECEIVED: April 25, 1968; revised January 29, 1969

REFERENCES

- ASH, R. B. (1965), "Information Theory." Wiley (Interscience), New York.
- WOLFOWITZ, J. (1964), "Coding Theorems of Information—Theory" (second Edition). Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- YUDKIN, H. L. (March 1967), On the exponential error bound and capacity for finite state channels. M.I.T. Lincoln Laboratory.